
Developments in the security of energy management modules against remote fault injection attacks



Projet ANR JCJC CoPhyTEE

Sécurisation de systèmes sur puce à base d'architecture open-source contre des attaques physiques réalisées à distance basées sur l'énergie ANR-23-CE39-0003-01

Gwenn Le Gonidec (IETR) Maria Méndez Real (Lab-STICC, UBS) Guillaume Bouffard (ANSSI) Jean-Christophe Prévotet (IETR, INSA Rennes)

owen.le-gonidec@insa-rennes.fr





Context

Construction Const

IFR From Secure Elements to Trusted Execution Environments

Secure Element

- Simple system
- Small attack surface





Complex Systems (SoCs, servers, etc.)


- Heterogen, versatile and powerful
 → Balance between performance,
 power constraints and security
- Large attack surface (software and hardware)

Securing third-party programs

→ Trusted Execution Environments (TEEs) (e.g., Arm Trustzone, Intel SGX)

Many devices and applications rely on TEEs:

- Servers (confidential cloud computing)
- Applicative SoCs and commodity devices (biometry, DRMs, etc.)



Software-induced hardware attacks emerge from the complexity of the host system.

- Hardware attack methods
- Software attack
 → Mass remote
 exploitation is possible





Power-management-based attacks




4

IETR Power-management-based attacks

Attacker model



- **Software attacker**, high privilege (controls drivers)
- Target: trusted application executed on the same applicative multicore CPU




¹Mahmoud *et al.*, DFAulted: Analyzing and Exploiting CPU Software Faults Caused by FPGA-Driven Undervolting Attacks, *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, 2022.

Attack

• Through energy management mechanisms, the attacker controls the CPU's **frequency & voltage**


→ Clock / Voltage glitch





IETR Energy management mechanisms

DVFS (Dynamic Voltage and Frequency Scaling)





Tang et al., CLKScrew: Exposing the Perils of Security-Oblivious Energy Management, USENIX Security 17, 2017.

IETR Results

First attack: CLKScrew (2017)


 \rightarrow Many similar attacks have been published¹⁻⁵

- New target platforms
- New attack scenarios

Vulnerable platforms and TEEs

- A wide range of Arm **Trustzone**-based SoCs ^{1,2}
- Intel CPUs protected by SGX ^{4,5} (Skylake)

Main fault model: The result of some operations is faulted (multiplications, vector operations, encryption)



¹Tang *et al.*, CLKSCREW: Exposing the Perils of Security-Oblivious Energy Management, *USENIX Security* 17, 2017.

²Qiu *et al.*, VoltJockey: Breaching TrustZone by Software-Controlled Voltage Manipulation over Multi-core Frequencies, *AsianHOST*, 2019.

³ Noubir *et al.*, Towards Malicious Exploitation of Energy Management Mechanisms, *DATE* 2020.


⁴ Murdock *et al.*, Plundervolt: Software-based Fault Injection Attacks against Intel SGX, *IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (SP)*, 2020.

⁵ Kenjar *et al.*, V0LTpwn: Attacking x86 Processor Integrity from Software, *USENIX Security 20*, 2020.




IETR Limits of DVFS attacks


Voltage regulators can be imprecise



Timing accuracy



Re-printed from: Juffinger *et al.*, SUIT: Secure Undervolting with Instruction Traps, 29th ACM International Conference on Architectural Support for Programming Languages and Operating Systems, 2024



Ø

UNIVERSILÉ Bretagne Sud


INSA

IN Nantes ✔ Université


BENNES

IETR Potential evolutions

- Combination with other attacks
- Power management hardware evolution



• New ways to manipulate voltage and frequency



RENNES RENNES Université


Bretagne Sud



Countermeasures




IFTR Approaches to countering DVFS attacks






IDVFS attacks countermeasures – First approach



- → Intel/Arm approach: prevent software from accessing voltage regulators
- Impact on power management mechanisms?
- Other ways to manipulate voltage (e.g. FPGA-to-CPU attack)

 \rightarrow Use of a coprocessor to control voltage/frequency change requests

• Cost and energy consumption of the component



Power

Management


0,0

c0

c1

CPU


IDVFS attacks countermeasures – Second approach



 \rightarrow Increase the latency of frequently faulted instructions

- Requires hardware modifications to the CPU
- Impact on performances


Re-printed from Liang *et al.*, ReVIVaL: A Variation-Tolerant Architecture Using Voltage Interpolation and Variable Latency, 2008 International Symposium on Computer Architecture





Juffinger et al., SUIT: Secure Undervolting with Instruction Traps, 29th ACM International Conference on Architectural Support for Programming Languages and Operating Systems, 2024

IFTR DVFS attacks countermeasures – Third approach



- \rightarrow Well-known methods: redundancy, infection, error detection codes, etc.¹
- \rightarrow Identify vulnerable code sections²
- \rightarrow Insert new instructions to protect against attacks³
- Heavy impact on performances
- Useful against other fault injection attacks

³ Kogler et al., Minefield: A Software-only Protection for SGX Enclaves against DVFS Attacks, 31st USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 22), 2023



¹Tao *et al.*, Software Countermeasures against DVFS fault Attack for AES, 10th International Conference on Dependable Systems and Their Applications (DSA), 2023. ²Zhang *et al.*, iATPG: Instruction-level Automatic Test Program Generation for Vulnerabilities under DVFS attack, IEEE 25th International Symposium on On-Line Testing and Robust System Design (IOLTS), 2019



Conclusions



15

IETR Conclusions

DVFS attacks: an important threat

- Wide range of vulnerable applications and devices
- Software attack → remote and mass exploitation
- Many possible evolutions

→ Impact of the evolution of power management mechanisms on the attack surface?

 \rightarrow What are the other ways to control voltage & frequency?

Prospects for countermeasures

- Arm Trustzone, Intel SGX: limited and specific countermeasures
- → How to design TEE implementations that are fundamentally secure against software-induced hardware attacks?
- RISC-V TEEs are an opportunity

<u>Survey article</u> Do not Trust Power Management: A Survey on Internal Energy-based Attacks Circumventing Trusted Execution Environments Security Properties (Pre-print available on arXiV: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2405.15537)

Thanks for your attention!

